Case	2:15-cv-04559-PA-GJS Document 1 Filed	06/16/15 Page 1 of 12 Page ID #:1
1 2 3 4 5	WILLIAM ROCHA 626.345.2175 13520 Ramona Blvd #F Baldwin Park, California 91324 Defendant, In Pro Se  UNITED STATES D  CENTRAL DISTRICT	
7		
8	THOMAS WONG	caGN:15-04559PA (GIS.)
9		NOTICE OF REMOVAL 28 USC 1441, 1446 (d)] alif. CCP 430.90]
11	Vs.	
12		rom the LOS ANGELES County
13	WILLIAM ROCHA, DOES 1 TO 10	uperior Court, ase # 15PO2671
14	inclusive	
15	DEFENDANTS	
16		
17	Defendants allege:	
18 19	PARTIES AND COUNSEL	
20		
21	1.Defendants WILLIAM ROCHA, and Pl	aintiff THOMAS WONG are those
22	parties in a California State Cour	·
23	County Superior Court, PASADENA CO	-
24	property located at 13520 Ramona E	Blvd #F Baldwin Park,
25	California 91324	
26		
27		
28		
	1	
	[NOTICE OF R	EMOVAL]
	II	I

2. Defendant **WILLIAM ROCHA** is the former tenant of the premises, and participants in this litigation.

3. Whereas this action was filed in California State Court against the former tenant of the premises, Defendant WILLIAM ROCHA is a bona fide tenant under the "Protecting Tenants at Foreclosure Act"

4. Plaintiff is represented by Counsel in the State Court action as follows:

Alan K. Brown, Esq. 323.888.1113 215 W. Pomona Blvd. Ste 201 Monterey Park, CA 91754

## Federal Question

- 5. Plaintiff has actually filed a Federal Question action in State Court, for which the State Court Action is removed under 28 U.S.C. 1441 et seq. and Hunter v. Philip Morris USA, 582 F.3d 1039, 1042-43 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2009); 28 U.S.C. 1331.
- 6. New federal legislation effective May 21, 2009, as amended in July, 2010, as Public Law 111-203, the "Protecting Tenants at Foreclosure Act 2009," 12 U.S.C. 5220, note [hereafter "PFTA" preempted State Law as to bona fide Residential tenants of foreclosed Landlords [Florida Lime & Growers, Inc v. Paul, 373 U.S. 132, 142-43 (1963) (concept of limited preemption)]
  - a. Whereas under California Law, a foreclosure automatically terminated any junior agreements [Bank of America V. Hirsch Merc. Co. (1944) 64 Cal App 2d 175, 182], Section 702(a)(2) of the PTFA makes the

purchaser at the foreclosure sale subject to the rights of the existing bonafide tenants. The tenancy is protected by federal law.

- b. Whereas under California, a foreclosure eviction may be filed as to any such tenant on mere 60 days' notice of termination [California Civil Procedures Code 1161a, 1161b], the PFTA requires that a full 90 days 'notice be given [PTFA 701(a)(a)]
- c. Whereas under California Law, the notice of termination can be given at any time after the foreclosure purchaser has title [California Civil Procedures Code 1161a], under the PFTA the 90-day notice can ONLY be given when the tenancy is a month-to-month OR if the tenancy is a lease where the buyer who will move into the premises as their primary residence. [PFTA 701(a) (2)]
- d. It is unlawful to evict a bona fide residential tenant of a foreclosed landlord under Federal Law.
- 7. The complaint in this action was filed in State Court as artful pleading, entitled by the Superior Court of California as Unlawful Detainer, pleadings intentionally fails to allege compliance with the PTFA, serving only a 3 day notice to quit.

Thus, in order to evict a bona fide residential tenant of a foreclosed Landlord, Plaintiff was required to state a cause of action under the PTFA, but sought to avoid those protections by filing this action as an "Unlawful Detainer" by artful pleadings in State Court.

- 8. A well-pleaded complaint is shown at least where the Plaintiff's right to relief necessarily depends on resolution of a substantial question of Federal Law. Armstrong v. N. Mariana Islands, 576 F.3d 950, 954-55 (9th Cir. 2009); Empire Healthcare Assurance v. McVeigh 547 US 677, 689-690 (2006); Franchise Tax Bd. v. Const. Laborers Vacation Trust for S. Cal. 463 US 1, 12, 27-28 (1983). Here, the complaint is based upon the PTFA, but it was brought in Superior Court Court, and misnomered "Unlawful Detainer."
- 9. Even where the cause of action is based on state law, the district court has subject matter jurisdiction over the case if (1) the federal issues are essential to the claims, (2) there is a substantial federal issues in resolving such issues, and (3) a Federal forum may entertain the state law claims without disturbing the balance of federal and state judicial responsibilities. Grable & Sons Metal Prods v. Darue Eng.r & Mfg. 545 US 308, 313, 315 (2005). Here, the PTFA is essential to the right of possession, Congress passed the PTFA to

express its substantial interest in such issues, and the

"balance" of judicial responsibilities will not be disturbed.

of Health and Envil Quality 213 Fed 3d 1108, 1114 (9<sup>th</sup>, 2000)], the complaint attempts to state a cause of action in PTFA ejectment, and cannot state a cause of action in State unlawful detainer. The PTFA is that substantial question of law. The Plaintiff cannot defeat removal by omitting necessary federal question of law. The plaintiff cannot defeat removal by omitting complaint.

private right of action. Merrill Dow Pharms. Inv v. Thompson 478 US 804, 817 (1986). It can be either express or implicit. Diaz v. Davis 549 Fed 3d 1223, 1229-1230 (9th Cir. 2008). The Court must look to the "rights creating" language and statutory structure within which it is contained. Lamie v. United States Trustee 540 US 526, 534 (2004). The Court must assume that Congress did not intend to create a right without a remedy. First Pacific Bancorp, Inc v. Helfer, 224 F.3d 1117, 1123, 1125-26 (9th Cir. 2000).

satisfied:

a. Defendant WILLIAM ROCHA is a member of a protected

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

class for whom the statue, the "Protecting Tenants at Foreclosure Act" was created.

- The rights-creating language of the PTFA, its context, and the legislative history. Opera Plaza Residential Parcel Homeowners Assn. v. Hoang 376 Fed. 3d 831, 836 (2004), 9<sup>th</sup> Cir) are underscored by
  - i. The language of the PTFA, particularly 702(a),
  - ii. The lack of any other specified enforcement mechanism [First Pacific Bancorp inc. v. Helfer 224 Fed 3d 1117m 1123 (200, 9<sup>th</sup> Cir.); Williams v. United Airlines, Inc 500 Fed 3d, 1019, 1024  $(9^{th}, 2007)$ ], and
  - iii. The legislative history.
- c. The cause of action is consistent with the underlying purpose of the law: balancing the rights of the parties.
- d. The "traditional status" of evictions as a state cause of action is the weakest of the criteria [First Pacific Bancorp, Inc. v. Helfer, 224 F. 3d 1117, 1127 ( $9^{th}$ Cir. 2000)], particularly where here, as in Civil Rights legislation, Congress intended to occupy the filed and break tradition, with a bold new law

4 5

intended to protect tenants whose landlords did not use the rent money to pay the mortgage, in a distressed economy, under the Supremecy Clause.

- 13. The PTFA is not a defense, but the entire basis for the action to eject a bona fide residential tenant of a foreclosed landlord. Even without any defense of the PTFA being raised, Plaintiff cannot state a cause of action to remove such a tenant without framing the prima facide case in the language of the PTFA. The notice purports to comply with the PTFA, and without the reference to the 90-day notice required by the PTFA, Plaintiff would be unable to evict any such tenant.
- 14. The federal cause of action in ejectment is the basis for this action, irrespective of artful pleading, such that action could have been brought in Federal District Court.

## Removed Action

- 15. The notice of removal is timely under Section 1446 (b) of
  Title 28 of the United States Code because it is filed within
  30 days of discovering that the case was ripe for removal.
- 16. A true and correct copy of the State Court Complaint of the action and related documents, sought to be removed to this Court are attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

17. Defendant, WILLIAM ROCHA is bona fide residential tenant of a foreclosed landlord, entitled to the protection of the PTFA, and entitled to remove this action to Federal Court.

18. Under California code of Civil Procedure 430.90, the state trial court hereby loses jurisdiction under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and does not recover jurisdiction, if at all, until and unless this action is remanded to the state Court, after which jurisdiction will again attach as described in that statue.

DATED: 6-16-15

WILLIAM ROCHA, In Pro Se

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this action.

## PROOF OF SERVICE

I am a resident of or employed in the county where the

1 2

.

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

mailing occurred; my business/residence address is: 65 Pine Ave Suite 171 Long Beach, CA 90802

Alan K. Brown, Esq. 323.888.1113 215 W. Pomona Blvd. Ste 201 Monterey Park, CA 91754

- [ X ] (By U.S. Mail) I deposited such envelope in the mail at Long Beach, California with postage thereon fully prepaid. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed in valid in postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.
- [] (By Personal Service) I caused such envelope to be delivered by hand via messenger service to the address above;
- [] (By Facsimile) I served a true and correct copy by facsimile during regular business hours to the number(s) listed above. Said transmission was reported complete and without error.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: 6-16-15

Francisco Bourne

9

(	ATORNEY OF PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address).	age 10 of 12 Page IDD#100
- 1/2	ALLEN K. BROWN (SBN#75940)	FOR COURT USE ONLY
	Law Office of ALLEN K.BROWN (#1503211)	
	215 W. Pomona Blvd., Ste.201	
	Monterey Park, CA 91754-7147	COMFORMED COPY Superior Count of County
	TELEPHONE NO.: (323) 888-1113 FAX NO. (Optional);	COMFORIMED COPY Superior Court of California APR 15 25
	E-MAIL ADDRESS (Optional):	Count Count FILED OPY
	ATTORNEY FOR (Name):	Of Los Acelifornia
	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF Pasadena	APD - "'deles" a
	STREET ADDRESS: 300 E. Walnut Street #116	Sherry APR 15 2015
	MAILING ADDRESS: 300 E. Walnut Street #116	*M/! N ×
-	CITY AND ZIP CODE: Pasadena, California 91101  BRANCH NAME: Northeast District-Limited Jurisdicti	By: Miran Execution
	PLAINTIFF: Thomas Wong	Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk
	The state of the s	By: Mireya Guevas, Deputy
	DEFENDANT: William Rocha	
	그는 여자를 계획하고 한다고 그 이 이 이 그 나는 그 그 그 가는 것이 되었다.	
-	X DOES 1 TO 10 inclusive.	
	COMPLAINT — UNLAWFUL DETAINER*	CASE NUMBER:
	X COMPLAINT AMENDED COMPLAINT (Amendment Number):	15 P 0 2 6 7 1
	Jurisdiction (check all that apply):	
	ACTION IS A LIMITED CIVIL CASE  Amount demanded	
	exceeds \$10,000 but does not exceed \$25,000	
	ACTION IS AN UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE (amount demanded exceeds \$25,000)	
	ACTION IS RECLASSIFIED by this amended complaint or cross-complaint (check all that ap	
1	from unlawful detainer to general unlimited civil (possession not in issue)	from limited to unlimited
L	from unlawful detainer to general limited civil (possession not in issue)	from unlimited to limited
1	. PLAINTIFF (name each): Thomas Wong	
	allogge raying of nation against DEEENDANT (same analytic) 3.1	
	alleges causes of action against DEFENDANT (name each): William Rocha	
2	. a. Plaintiff is (1) x an individual over the age of 18 years. (4) a partners	ship.
	(2) a public agency, (5) a corpora	dion.
	(3) other (specify):	
	b Digith has sometical with the first time business and the state of t	
	b. Plaintiff has complied with the fictitious business name laws and is doing business u	nder the fictitious name of (specify):
3	. Defendant named above is in possession of the premises located at (street address, apt. no.,	oity zip godo and county):
	13520 Ramona Boulevard #F, Baldwin Park, County of Los A	ngeles CA 91706
4	. Plaintiff's interest in the premises is X as owner other (specify):	
5	. The true names and capacities of defendants sued as Does are unknown to plaintiff,	
6	a. On or about (date): 12-01-06 defendant (name each): Willi	am Rocha
	어른 생물을 하고 있는 것이 없는 그들은 사람들이 없는 것이다.	
		ncy (specify): one year lease
	(2) agreed to pay rent of \$ 1175 payable x monthly other (specify	frequency):
	(3) agreed to pay rent on the x first of the month other day (specify):	
	b. This x written oral agreement was made with  (1) x plaintiff. (3) plaintiff's predecessor	
	American Ame	in interest.
	(2) plaintiff's agent. (4) other (specify):	
		material and the second
*	NOTE: Do not use this form for evictions after sale (Code Civ. Proc., § 1161a).	
	Form Approved for Optional Use CORED AINT LINE ASSETS DETAINED	Page 1 of 3  Civil Code, § 1940 et seq.
	Form Approved for Optional Use Judicial Council of California  COMPLAINT—UNLAWFUL DETAINER	Code of Civil Procedure §§ 425.12, 1165

DEPENDANT (Name): William Rocha  6. c.  The defendants not named in item 8a are  (1)	ase 2:15-cv-04559-PA-GJS Document 1 Filed 06/16/15 Page 11 of 12 Page PLAINTIFF (Name): Thomas Wong	5 HJ #.L.
(1)	DEPENDANT (Name): William Rocha	
e. X A copy of the written agreement, including any addenda or attachments that form the basis of this complaint, is attache and labeled Exhibit 1. (Required for residential property, unless item & is checked. See Code Civ. Proc., § 1166.)  1.	(1) subtenants. (2) assignees.	
and labeled Exhibit 1. (Required for residential property, unless item 6 is checked. See Code Civ. Proc., § 1166.)  (For residential property) A copy of the written agreement is not attached because (specify reason):  (1)	d. 🗶 The agreement was later changed as follows (specify): rent increased to \$1250	
was served the following notice on the same date and in the same manner:  (1)	and labeled Exhibit 1. (Required for residential property, unless item 6f is checked. See Code Civ. Proc., § 1  i. (For residential property) A copy of the written agreement is <b>not</b> attached because (specify reason):  (1) the written agreement is not in the possession of the landlord or the landlord's employees or agent	166.)
(1) 3-day notice to pay rent or quit (2) 30-day notice to quit (3) 60-day notice to quit (5) 30-day notice to quit (6) Other (specify): b. (1) On (date): 4-13-15 the period stated in the notice expired at the end of the day. (2) Defendants failed to comply with the requirements of the notice by that date. c. All facts stated in the notice are true. d. X The notice included an election of forfeiture. e. X A copy of the notice is attached and labeled Exhibit 2. (Flequired for residential property. See Code Civ. Proc., § 1166.) f. One or more defendants were served (1) with a different notice, (2) on a different date, or (3) in a different manner, as stated in Attachment 8c. (Check item 8c and attach a statement providing the information required items 7a-e and 8 for each defendant.)  3. a. X The notice in item 7a was served on the defendant named in item 7a as follows: (1) by personally handing a copy to defendant on (date): (2) by leaving a copy with (name or description): a person of suitable age and discretion, on (date): because defendant cannot be found at defendant's residence on (date): place of business. (3) X by posting a copy on the premises on (date):4-9-15 AND giving a copy to a person four residing at the premises AND mailing a copy to defendant at the premises on (date):4-9-15 (a) because defendant's residence and usual place of business cannot be ascertained OR (b) X because no person of suitable age or discretion can be found there. (4) (Not for 3-day notice; see Civil Code, § 1946 before using) by sending a copy by certified or registered mail addressed to defendant or (date): (5) (Not for residential tenancies; see Civil Code, § 1953 before using) in the manner specified in a written commercial lease between the parties.  b. (Name): was served on behalf of all defendants who signed a joint written rental agreement.	'. x a Defendant (name each): William Rocha	
(1) 3-day notice to pay rent or quit (2) 30-day notice to quit (3) 60-day notice to quit (5) 30-day notice to quit (6) Other (specify): b. (1) On (date): 4-13-15 the period stated in the notice expired at the end of the day. (2) Defendants failed to comply with the requirements of the notice by that date. c. All facts stated in the notice are true. d. X The notice included an election of forfeiture. e. X A copy of the notice is attached and labeled Exhibit 2. (Required for residential property. See Code Civ. Proc., § 1166.) f. One or more defendants were served (1) with a different notice, (2) on a different date, or (3) in a different manner, as stated in Altachment 8c. (Check item 8c and attach a statement providing the information required items 7a-e and 8 for each defendant.)  3. a. X The notice in item 7a was served on the defendant named in item 7a as follows: (1) by personally handing a copy to defendant on (date): (2) by leaving a copy with (name or description): a person of suitable age and discretion, on (date): because defendant cannot be found at defendant's residence on (date): place of business.  (3) X by posting a copy on the premises on (date):4-9-15 AND giving a copy to a person four residing at the premises AND mailing a copy to defendant at the premises on (date):4-9-15 (a) because defendant's residence and usual place of business cannot be ascertained OR (b) X because no person of suitable age or discretion can be found there.  (4) (Not for 3-day notice; see Civil Code, § 1946 before using) by sending a copy by certified or registered mail addressed to defendant on (date):  (5) (Not for residential tenancies; see Civil Code, § 1953 before using) in the manner specified in a written commercial lease between the parties.  b. (Name): was served on behalf of all defendants who signed a joint written rental agreement.		
(1) by personally handing a copy to defendant on (date): (2) by leaving a copy with (name or description):	(1) x 3-day notice to pay rent or quit (2) 30-day notice to quit (3) 60-day notice to quit (6) Other (specify):  b. (1) On (date): 4-13-15 the period stated in the notice expired at the end of the complex stated in the notice are true.  c. All facts stated in the notice are true.  d. x The notice included an election of forfeiture.  e. x A copy of the notice is attached and labeled Exhibit 2. (Required for residential property. See Code Civ. § 1166.)  f. One or more defendants were served (1) with a different notice, (2) on a different date, or (3) in a manner, as stated in Attachment 8c. (Check item 8c and attach a statement providing the information items 7a—e and 8 for each defendant.)	Proc., a different
residing at the premises AND mailing a copy to defendant at the premises on  (date): 4-9-15  (a)	(1) by personally handing a copy to defendant on (date): (2) by leaving a copy with (name or description): a person of suitable age and discretion, on (date): at defendant's residence business AND mailing a copy to defendant at defendant's place of residence (date): because defendant cannot be found at defendant's resider	
was served on behalf of all defendants who signed a joint written rental agreement.	<ul> <li>(3) x by posting a copy on the premises on (date):4-9-15 AND giving a copy to a per residing at the premises AND mailing a copy to defendant at the premises on (date): 4-9-15</li> <li>(a) because defendant's residence and usual place of business cannot be ascertained OR (b) x because no person of suitable age or discretion can be found there.</li> <li>(4) (Not for 3-day notice; see Civil Code, § 1946 before using) by sending a copy by certified or registered addressed to defendant on (date):</li> <li>(5) (Not for residential tenancies; see Civil Code, § 1953 before using) in the manner specified in a written commercial lease between the parties.</li> </ul>	mail
to Information about service of notice on the defendants alleged in item 71 is stated in Attachment 8c.		
d. x Proof of service of the notice in item 7a is attached and labeled Exhibit 3.	c. Information about service of notice on the defendants alleged in item 7f is stated in Attachment 8c.	

_PLAINTIFF (Name): Thomas Wong	CASE NUMBER:
DEFENDANT (Name): William Rocha	
<ol> <li>X At the time the 3-day notice to pay rent or gut</li> <li>X The fair rental value of the premises is \$ 4 1.</li> <li>Defendant's continued possession is malicid section 1174(b). (State specific facts supports)</li> <li>X A written agreement between the parties pro</li> </ol>	ous, and plaintiff is entitled to statutory damages under Code of Civil Procedure rling a claim up to \$600 in Attachment 12.)
Plaintiff has met all applicable requirements  5 Other allegations are stated in Attachment 1  6. Plaintiff accepts the jurisdictional limit, if any, of the	<b>15.</b> 0 × 3×1 3×2 (4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
7. PLAINTIFF REQUESTS	
a. possession of the premises.	f. X damages at the rate stated in item 11 from
<ul> <li>b. costs incurred in this proceeding:</li> <li>c. x past-due rent of \$ 2750</li> <li>d. x reasonable attorney fees.</li> <li>e. x forfeiture of the agreement.</li> </ul>	(date): 05-01-15 for each day that defendants remain in possession through entry of judgment.  9. statutory damages up to \$600 for the conduct alleged in item 12.  h. other (specify):
8. 😠 Number of pages attached (specify): 9  UNLAWFUL DETAINER	ASSISTANT (Bus. & Prof. Code, §§ 6400–6415)
UNLAWFUL DETAINER  9. (Complete in all cases.) An unlawful detainer ass	sistant x did not did for compensation give advice or assistance
UNLAWFUL DETAINER  9. (Complete in all cases.) An unlawful detainer ass with this form. (If plaintiff has received any help o	sistant x did not did for compensation give advice or assistance or advice for pay from an unlawful detainer assistant, state):
UNLAWFUL DETAINER  9. (Complete in all cases.) An unlawful detainer ass	sistant x did not did for compensation give advice or assistance
UNLAWFUL DETAINER  9. (Complete in all cases.) An unlawful detainer ass with this form. (If plaintiff has received any help of a. Assistant's name:	sistant x did not did for compensation give advice or assistance or advice for pay from an uniawful detainer assistant, state):  c. Telephone No.: d. County of registration: e. Registration No.: f. Expires on (date):
UNLAWFUL DETAINER  9. (Complete in all cases.) An unlawful detainer ass with this form. (If plaintifi has received any help of a. Assistant's name: b. Street address, city, and zip code:	sistant x did not did for compensation give advice or assistance or advice for pay from an uniawful detainer assistant, state):  c. Telephone No.: d. County of registration: e. Registration No.:
UNLAWFUL DETAINER  9. (Complete in all cases.) An unlawful detainer ass with this form. (If plaintiff has received any help of a. Assistant's name: b. Street address, city, and zip code:  Date: 4/15/2015  ALLEN K. BROWN (SBN#75940)	sistant x did not did for compensation give advice or assistance or advice for pay from an uniawful detainer assistant, state):  c. Telephone No.: d. County of registration: e. Registration No.: f. Expires on (date):
UNLAWFUL DETAINER  9. (Complete in all cases.) An unlawful detainer ass with this form. (If plaintifi has received any help of a. Assistant's name:  b. Street address, city, and zip code:  Date: 4/15/2015  ALLEN K. BROWN (SBN#75940) (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)  (Use a different verification form if the	sistant x did not did for compensation give advice or assistance or advice for pay from an uniawful detainer assistant, state):  c. Telephone No.: d. County of registration: e. Registration No.: f. Expires on (date):
UNLAWFUL DETAINER  9. (Complete in all cases.) An unlawful detainer ass with this form. (If plaintiff has received any help of a. Assistant's name:  b. Street address, city, and zip code:  Date: 4/15/2015  ALLEN K. BROWN (SBN#75940)  (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	sistant x did not did for compensation give advice or assistance or advice for pay from an uniawful detainer assistant, state):  c. Telephone No.: d. County of registration: e. Registration No.: f. Expires on (date):  VERIFICATION  erification is by an attorney or for a corporation or partnership.)
UNLAWFUL DETAINER  9. (Complete in all cases.) An unlawful detainer ass with this form. (If plaintiff has received any help of a. Assistant's name: b. Street address, city, and zip code:  Date: 4/15/2015  ALLEN K. BROWN (SBN#75940) (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)  (Use a different verification form if the verification in this proceeding and have read this California that the foregoing is true and correct.	sistant x did not did for compensation give advice or assistance or advice for pay from an uniawful detainer assistant, state):  c. Telephone No.: d. County of registration: e. Registration No.: f. Expires on (date):  VERIFICATION erification is by an attorney or for a corporation or partnership.) s complaint. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of
UNLAWFUL DETAINER  9. (Complete in all cases.) An unlawful detainer ass with this form. (If plaintiff has received any help of a. Assistant's name: b. Street address, city, and zip code:  Date: 4/15/2015  ALLEN K. BROWN (SBN#75940) (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)  (Use a different verification form if the veriant the plaintiff in this proceeding and have read this California that the foregoing is true and correct.)	sistant x did not did for compensation give advice or assistance or advice for pay from an uniawful detainer assistant, state):  c. Telephone No.: d. County of registration: e. Registration No.: f. Expires on (date):  VERIFICATION erification is by an attorney or for a corporation or partnership.) s complaint. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of
UNLAWFUL DETAINER  9. (Complete in all cases.) An unlawful detainer ass with this form. (If plaintiff has received any help of a. Assistant's name: b. Street address, city, and zip code:  Date: 4/15/2015  ALLEN K. BROWN (SBN#75940) (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)  (Use a different verification form if the verification in this proceeding and have read this California that the foregoing is true and correct.	sistant x did not did for compensation give advice or assistance or advice for pay from an uniawful detainer assistant, state):  c. Telephone No.: d. County of registration: e. Registration No.: f. Expires on (date):  VERIFICATION erification is by an attorney or for a corporation or partnership.) s complaint. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of